PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

То:					PCT
see form PCT/ISA/220				INTERNATION (F	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER A	
! l			International filing date (a 25.03.2004	lday/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25.03.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C12N9/02					
Applicant NEUTEC PHARMA PLC					
2.	1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. I Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.				
J.		notes to	roim PC1/ISA/220.		

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

<u></u>

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Kalsner, I

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8708



International application No. PCT/GB2004/001383

IC20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 23 SEP 2005

	Вох	No	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.			gard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		lan	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search or ider Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.			gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	ре	of material:
]	a sequence listing
)	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	rma	at of material:
	×	1	in written format
	×]	in computer readable form
	c. tin	ne	of filing/furnishing:
	×]	contained in the international application as filed.
	×]	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
]	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	. (ha: cor	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional poles is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.
•	٠	٠	aal commente:

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001383

	Box	No. II	Priority	
1.	☐ The following document has not been furnished:			
		\boxtimes	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).	
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).	
		Consec neverth	quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has leless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.	
2.		has be	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international atteindicated above is considered to be the relevant date.	
3.	Additional observations, if necessary:			

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001383

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
	the entire international application,						
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 17, with respect to industrial applicability						
because:							
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
⊠	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 17						
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
	the written form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished				
			does not comply with the standard				
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
\boxtimes	See separate sheet for further	detai	Is				

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001383

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-9, 11-25

No: Claims

10

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-9, 19

No:

11-18, 20-25

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-16, 18-25

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

JC20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 2 3 SEP 2005

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/001383

Ad Section III: Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claim 17 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. In this respect the following should be noted:

For the assessment of this claim on the question whether it is industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Ad Section V: Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability

The present application relates to a *Clostridium difficile* lactate dehydrogenase. The enzyme was characterised and cloned from a *C. difficile* genomic library. Antibodies reacting with the enzyme were found in the serum of patients suffering from *C. difficile* infection. Use of the enzyme as well as an antibody specifically directed to it in medical and diagnostic applications is foreseen.

2) Novelty

- 2.1) Claim 10 which is directed to an antibody specific against a *C. difficile* lactate dehydrogenase does not meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT. From the application, p. 25, lines 2-3, it can be taken that a commercially available antibody reacted with the *C. difficile* enzyme of the application. Hence the antibody as defined in claim 10 cannot be considered novel over this commercial antibody.
- 2.2) Claims directed to the enzyme itself and to the nucleic acid molecule encoding the enzyme, vectors, host cells, etc. (claims 1-9) are considered to meet the requirements of Art. 33(2)(3) PCT as the specific enzyme was not disclosed in nor

International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/GB2004/001383

derivable in an obvious manner from the prior art.

2.3) Novelty can also be acknowledged for the claims directed to medicaments, diagnostic methods and methods of manufacture of a medicament (claims 11-25).

3) Inventive step

3.1) Inventive step, however, cannot be acknowledged for claims 11-18 and 20-25 for the following reasons:

These claims are based on the assumption that the newly discovered protein, which is recognised by antibodies present in the sera of patients suffering from *C. difficile* infection, may be involved in *C. difficile* pathogenicity. Applicants, however, provide no examples or evidence that the combination of an antibiotic and a specific lactate dehydrogenase antibody (which has not even been disclosed) shows any beneficial effects in the treatment of *C. difficile* infection as compared to traditional antibiotics.

Demonstrated function of a newly identified protein, however, is a prerequisite to the final assessment of inventive step of claims 11-17 and 20-25.

3.2) Claims 18, 20 and 21 do not meet the requirements of Art. 33(3) PCT for the following reasons:

Claim 18 is directed to a diagnostic method for detecting the presence in a sample of C. difficile lactate dehydrogenase using an antibody or an antibody binding fragment specific against said enzyme. As antibodies specific for the claimed enzyme are known in the art (see par. 2.1) using such antibodies in a diagnostic method is not considered to involve an inventive step.